ALPRP | DOCUMENT SYNCHRONIZATION SUPPLEMENT
NOVEMBER 7, 2026 | EVIDENCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY

Document status
Supplement to the existing September 12, 2026 ALPRP policy package.
This supplement is ALPRP analysis and proposed implementation guidance.
It is not enacted law, an ADOC agreement, a changed parole standard,
or an assertion that Pilot 100 is already operational.

Controlling document register (versions unchanged)

Executive Policy Brief	v2.1 - September 2026
Policy Foundation	v2.2 - September 2026
Comprehensive Reform Framework	v3.3 - September 2026
Pilot 100 Master Plan	v5.2 - September 2026

Purpose and authority
Keep the four historical PDFs intact while clarifying how the
November 6, 2026 ADEPA Kilby special audit relates to the existing
framework and website. The Framework v3.3 remains the canonical
operating model; the Foundation explains the need; the Pilot Master
Plan provides the demonstration design; the Brief is an overview.
Material changes to doctrine require a later version-controlled
synchronized release, not silent edits to September documents.

Audit source
ADEPA, Special Review of ADOC - Kilby Correctional Facility,
Report 27X-001, filed November 6, 2026.
Audit fieldwork/review: May-October 2026. Historical periods vary.
Official report and methodological detail are linked from:
https://alprp.org/kilby-audit-2026.html

Scope and source qualifications
Selected sampled-record findings apply to the audited sample, not
all Alabama facilities. Missing documentation does not establish
that the required action never happened. Initial Kilby-associated
death lists (66, 72, 68) were reconciled by the auditors; their
figures must not be summed. The report includes ADOC’s response.
Recommendations are advisory, not independently enforceable mandates.

Cross-page data source:
https://alprp.org/data/evidence-to-action-registry.json

RECONCILIATION: FOUNDATION AND FRAMEWORK

1 | Policy Foundation v2.2 - evidence and policy case

Existing doctrine: evidence discipline, transparent source labels, agency capacity, service access, workforce, clinical continuity, safe release and independent evaluation. These principles remain. Supplementary evidence: the November Kilby audit provides specific observations of oversight-access, personnel-record and incident-file deficiencies, clinical privacy concerns and reentry-program gaps. Add these observations to the evidence base with the audit date, sample limitations and ADOC response. Do not replace statewide ADOC population, staffing, parole or fiscal baselines with them.

2 | Comprehensive Reform Framework v3.3 - canonical operations

Retain the Five Stages: Revive, Rehabilitate, Rebuild, Restore, Release. Retain ADOC legal authority, human review, privacy, existing-resources-first, victim direction, workforce protections, and non-delivery safeguards. The audit supports tighter operational specifications: independent review access, reconciled discipline/incident/death records, clinical privacy, sanitation inspections and intake-to-release program tracking. These are ALPRP proposals, not current agency commitments. They strengthen existing safeguards rather than create a sixth stage or an autonomous decision system.

3 | Correct superseded appendix references

Framework v3.3 Appendix D retains historical wording referring to ’Policy Foundation v2’ and ’Pilot 100 Master Plan v5.1’. For the September 12, 2026 synchronized package, the correct current references are Policy Foundation v2.2 and Pilot 100 Master Plan v5.2. The Framework change note and current website already show those versions. Treat the older Appendix D labels as stale references, not as instructions to use older governing editions.

4 | Technology and data roles

All proposed audit logs require defined custodians, authorized access, privacy limits, records correction and retention rules. No platform independently determines diagnosis, housing, discipline, risk or parole. Technology must be bypassable during outages without interrupting legally required custody or essential services.

Website source: /policy-package.html#kilby-audit-policy-addendum-2026

RECONCILIATION: PILOT 100 AND EXECUTIVE BRIEF

5 | Pilot 100 Master Plan v5.2 - evaluation design
Retain the proposed five-year, 100-resident bounded demonstration; independent evaluator, predeclared scale/modify/stop decision gates, full-cost accounting and ADOC authority. A Year-2 continuation review does not change the five-year proposed duration.

Additional audit-informed measures are proposed, not baseline values:
- Timely documented incident notifications and review forwarding
- Reconciliation of employee disciplinary records
- Reconciled death-case attribution across source systems
- Timely clinical referrals and grievance responses
- Individualized reentry plans and program access evidence
- Independent verification of corrective-action closure

Each metric requires an approved numerator, denominator, exclusions, baseline, records owner, review frequency, protected evidence trail, and escalation threshold BEFORE pilot launch. Rates derived from the Kilby audit cannot be reused as Pilot 100 observed outcomes. Independent review should distinguish policy adoption, actual delivery and documentary verification of delivery.

6 | Executive Policy Brief v2.1 - decision-maker orientation
Preserve its September 2026 snapshot and its original as-of dates. Do not rewrite the June population snapshot as a November measure. A dated companion note may alert reviewers to the November Kilby evidence and to the expanded accountability checks. The immediate request remains technical and institutional review of a potential limited demonstration, not statewide adoption.

7 | Publication and corrections
Evidence -> documented gap -> proposed ALPRP safeguard -> proposed operating workflow -> independently verifiable record -> deidentified public result. The registry maps all 13 selected Kilby audit findings across seven issue groups; it is an evidence-navigation supplement, not a claim that all 13 deficiencies exist in every prison.

Website: https://alprp.org/pilot-100.html#kilby-audit-verification-2026

DOCUMENT CONTROL AND RELEASE CHECKLIST

Reconciliation decisions for November 7, 2026

1. Preserve the original September PDF editions unchanged.
2. Publish this dated supplement as a companion reference.
3. Clearly label Kilby-specific sample evidence apart from statewide statistics and future Pilot 100 outcomes.
4. Identify outdated appendix versions as superseded references.
5. Ensure website and Ask ALPRP cite the same source hierarchy.
6. Avoid suggesting ADOC, legislators or partners adopted ALPRP.

Conditions for a future comprehensive version release

Before issuing new official versions of the four PDFs, review the revised text and effects on resident rights, medical care, security, staff obligations, funding, independent evaluation and partner roles. Update cross-document references and obtain formal document-control approval. Build all revised PDFs together, check pagination and accessibility, then synchronize the website, Ask ALPRP and citations. Retain dated historical PDFs and issue a public change log.

Other post-September website clarifications

Parole notice, packet assistance and supported participation are proposed procedural safeguards, not guaranteed legal entitlements. Remote/virtual hearing references must distinguish proposals from law. Current statutory, fiscal and statistical updates remain dated and source-specific; they do not retroactively amend September baselines. ALPRP apps and pilot workflows remain prototypes or proposals until a legally authorized institutional deployment is documented.

Source map

Audit analysis / response:
https://alprp.org/kilby-audit-2026.html
Selected audit finding dataset:
https://alprp.org/data/kilby-audit-findings-2026.json
Evidence-to-action registry:
https://alprp.org/data/evidence-to-action-registry.json
Policy framework:
https://alprp.org/policy-package.html
Pilot 100 evaluation:
https://alprp.org/pilot-100.html

Evidence classes (retain separate labels)

OFFICIAL DATA: dated public agency or audit report.
INDEPENDENT ANALYSIS: ALPRP interpretation, with limitations.
ALPRP PROPOSAL: not approved or in effect unless separately stated.
PILOT RESULTS: no verified Pilot 100 operating results claimed.

Version: Synchronization Supplement 2026-11-07, initial issue.
Prepared as an ALPRP policy evidence companion, not a new master plan.